Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Omega Chemical OU1 Feb 2004 Oversight Sampling

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04057E

Parameters: Volatiles

Method: 524.2

Laboratory: USEPA Region 9 Laboratory

Samples:

Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collection Date	Matrix
OC1-OW1-W-0-3	0402048-01	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW2-W-0-1	0402048-02	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW2-W-5-2	0402048-03	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW3-W-0-4	0402048-04	02/24/04	Water

Introduction/Summary

This data review report covers the sample delivery group and associated samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per USEPA Method 524.2. The quality assurance and quality control procedures (QA/QC) were per project specific sampling and analysis plan.

This review is based on the method and project approved QA/QC procedures and EPA data validation functional guidance; the following subsections correlate to these guidelines. The sections detail noted deviations if any. Tables summarizing all data qualification flags are provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols (P) or is of a technical advisory nature due to sample matrix (A).

Data qualifiers, if any, are summarized at the end of this report.

I. Holding Times

Samples were analyzed within 14 days (7 days if unpreserved) of collection as required.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked prior to initial calibration and calibration verification. All ion abundance requirements were met for BFB as listed below:

m/z	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA
50	15.0 - 40.0% of m/z 95
75	30.0 - 80.0% of m/z 95
95	Base peak, 100% relative abundance
96	5.0 - 9.0% of m/z 95
173	Less than 2.0% of m/z 174
174	50.0 – 120 % of m/z 95
175	5.0 - 9.0% of m/z 174
176	95.0 - 101.0% of m/z 174
177	5.0 - 9.0% of m/z 176

III. Initial Calibration

An initial five-point calibration was performed using the required concentrations prior to sample analysis.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than 30% for CCCs and less than or equal to 15% for mean RSD for all analytes with no individual analyte %RSD greater than 30%.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for volatile system performance check compounds (SPCC) were equal to or greater than 0.30 (> 0.10 for bromoform, chloromethane, and 1,1-dichloroethane) with the exception of the following:

Calibration Date	Analyte	RRF	Affected Samples	Flag	A or P
02/25/04	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.166	OC1-OW1-W-0-3 OC1-OW2-W-0-1 OC1-OW2-W-5-2 OC1-OW3-W-0-4	J	P

Second-source calibration verification was not carried out after five-point initial calibration.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was verified daily before sample analysis and every 12-hours of analysis time using mid-level standards.

The relative response factor (RRF) percent deviations were less than 20% for all CCCs and all calibration analytes were within ±20% of the expected values.

The relative response factors (RRF) for system performance check compounds (SPCC) were greater than or equal to 0.30 (> 0.10 for bromoform, chloromethane and 1,1-dichloroethane). The following had RRFs< 0.30

Continuing Calibration Standard	Analyte	RRF	Affected Samples	Flag	A or P
02/27/04	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.151	OC1-OW1-W-0-3 OC1-OW2-W-0-1 OC1-OW2-W-5-2	J	P
03/03/04	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.156	OC1-OW3-W-0-4	J	P
03/04/04	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.161	none	J	P

V. Blanks

Method blank analysis was performed at the frequency of once for every analytical batch.

The concentrations of analytes in the method blanks were less than the reporting limits, with no detections reported.

There were no field blanks with this SDG.

VI. System Monitoring Compounds

Surrogate compounds were added to all laboratory blanks, LCS, MS/MSD and field samples per project specifications.

All surrogate recoveries were within project specified control limits with the following exceptions:

Sample ID	Surrogate	%R	Flag	A or P
OC1-OW1-W-0-3	Toluene-d8	39 %	J	A

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

The samples B4B0118-MS1 and B4B01180MSD1 were the matrix spike (MS) and matrix spike duplicate (MSD) for this SDG. All of the percent recoveries (%R) and relative percent differences (RPD) were within control limits for precision and accuracy with the following exceptions:

Analyte	%R MS	%R MSD	RPD	Affected Samples	Flag	A or P
1,1-Dichloroethene	400 %	NR	NR	none	none	A

The 1,1-dichloroethene should not have been reported in the MS as it was not in the MSD due to the high concentration of the analyte in the original result.

VIII. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

At least one laboratory control sample per analytical batch was analyzed.

All percent recoveries were within project specified control limits for precision and accuracy.

IX. Internal Standards

Internal standards were added to all calibration standards, LCS, samples and blanks.

All internal standard retention times were within ±30 seconds of the retention times of the latest daily calibration standard.

All internal standard area counts were within -50 percent to 100 percent of the midpoint of the initial calibration standard.

All retention times and internal standard area counts were within project specifications for precision and accuracy.

X. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

Compound quantitation algorithm was verified to be correct.

The MDLs have been provided by the laboratory on the sample reports along with the reporting limits. The laboratory has established method detection limits (MDLs)

per 40 CFR Part 136 Appendix B. The laboratory MDLs are found to be consistent with project needs.

XI. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

There were no tentatively identified compounds within this SDG.

XII. System Performance

QC data at large indicate acceptable performance.

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

All data were found to be acceptable per specifications as noted above under introduction/summary with the exception of samples and analytes listed in the table at the end of this report, if any.

Omega Chemicals OU1 Volatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04057E

SDG	Sample ID	Analyte	Flag	A or P*	Reason
04057E	OC1-OW1-W-0-3 OC1-OW2-W-0-1 OC1-OW2-W-5-2 OC1-OW3-W-0-4	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	J	P	Initial Calibration RRF
04057E	OC1-OW1-W-0-3 OC1-OW2-W-0-1 OC1-OW2-W-5-2 OC1-OW3-W-0-4	1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	J	P	Continuing Calibration RRF
04057E	OC1-OW1-W-0-3	All analytes	J	A	Surrogate %R

*P-Flag is due to deviation from criteria limits
A- Flag is expected to be due to sample matrix effects

Omega Chemicals OU1 Volatiles - Blanks Data Qualification Summary - SDG 04057E

There were no detects within the blanks for this SDG.

Data Validation Report

Project/Site Name: Omega Chemical OUI Feb 2004 Oversight Sampling

Sample Delivery Group (SDG): 04057E

Parameters: Semivolatiles

Method: EPA 8270C

Laboratory: EPA Region 9 Laboratory

Samples:

Sample ID	Lab Sample ID	Collection Date	Matrix
OC1-OW1-W-0-3	0402048-01	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW2-W-0-1	0402048-02	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW2-W-5-2	0402048-03	02/24/04	Water
OC1-OW3-W-0-4	0402048-04	02/24/04	Water

Introduction/Summary

This data review report covers the sample delivery group and associated samples listed on the cover sheet. The analyses were per USEPA Method 8270C. The quality assurance and quality control procedures (QA/QC) were per project specific sampling and analysis plan.

This review is based on the method and project approved QA/QC procedures and EPA data validation functional guidance; the following subsections correlate to these guidelines. The sections detail noted deviations if any. Tables summarizing all data qualification flags are provided at the end of this report. Flags are classified as P (protocol) or A (advisory) to indicate whether the flag is due to a laboratory deviation from specified protocols (P) or is of a technical advisory nature due to sample matrix (A).

Data qualifiers, if any, are summarized at the end of this report.

I. Holding Times

Samples were extracted within 7 days (water) or 14 days (soil) of collection as required. Analyses were performed within 40 days after extraction. All samples were within project specifications.

II. GC/MS Instrument Performance Check

Instrument performance was checked prior to initial calibration and calibration verification. All ion abundance requirements were met for DFTPP as listed below:

m/z	ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA
51	30.0 - 60.0% of m/z 198
68	Less than 2% of m/z 69
69	0.0 – 100% of m/z 198
70	Less than 2% of m/z 69
127	40.0 - 60.0% of m/z 198
197	Less than 1% of m/z 198
198	Base peak, 100% relative abundance
199	5.0 - 9.0% of m/z 198
275	10.0 -30.0% of m/z 198
365	Greater than 1% of m/z 198
441	Present, but less than m/z 443
442	Greater than 40.0% of m/z 198
443	17.0 - 23.0% of m/z 442

III. Initial Calibration

An initial five-point calibration was performed using the required concentrations prior to sample analysis.

Percent relative standard deviations (%RSD) were less than 30% for CCCs and less than or equal to 15% for mean RSD for all analytes with no individual analyte %RSD greater than 30%.

Calibration Date	Analyte	% RSD	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
03/01/04	Hexachlorocylcopentadiene	43.25 %	None	J	P

No further action was recommended since this SDG was only analyzed for 1,4 Dioxane.

Average relative response factors (RRF) for volatile system performance check compounds (SPCC) were equal to or greater than 0.05.

Second-source calibration verification (SSCV) was carried out once per five-point initial calibration. All analytes were within ±25% of the expected values.

No further action was recommended since this SDG was only analyzed for 1,4 Dioxane.

IV. Continuing Calibration

Continuing calibration was verified daily before sample analysis and every 12-hours of analysis time using mid-level standards.

The relative response factor (RRF) percent drifts were less than 20% for all CCCs and all calibration analytes were within ±20%, with the following exception:

Calibration Date	Analyte	%D	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
03/02/04	Hexachlorocyclopentadiene 4-Nitrophenol Pentachlorophenol	21.3 % 28.0 % 32.5 %	None	J	A
03/03/04	Pentachlorophenol Di-n-octyl phthalate	25.0 % 24.9 %	None	J	A

No further action was recommended since this SDG was only analyzed for 1,4 Dioxane.

The relative response factors (RRF) for system performance check compounds (SPCC) were greater than or equal to 0.05.

V. Blanks

Method blank analysis was performed at the frequency of once for every analytical batch.

The concentrations of analytes in the Method Blank were less than the reporting limits, with no detections.

VI. System Monitoring Compounds

Surrogate compounds were added to all laboratory blanks, LCS, MS/MSD and field samples per project specifications.

All surrogate recoveries were within project specified control limits for precision and accuracy with the following exceptions:

Surrogate	%R	Associated Samples	Flag	A or P
1,4-Dioxane-d8	16 %	OC1-OW1-W-0-3	J	A

This sample had very high levels of 1,4-dioxane so it was diluted and reanalyzed. The dilution masked the surrogate so the above value is for the undiluted analysis.

VII. Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicates

Sample OC1-OW2-W-5-2 was used for the matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate. The percent recovery (%R) and relative percent difference (RPD) were within the project specific control limits.

VIII. Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)

At least one laboratory control sample per analytical batch was analyzed.

All % recoveries (%R) were within project specified control limits for precision and accuracy.

IX. Internal Standards

Internal standards were added to all calibration standards, LCS, samples and blanks.

All internal standard retention times were within ±30 seconds of the retention times of the latest daily calibration standard.

All internal standard area counts were within -50 percent to 100 percent of the midpoint of the calibration standard.

X. Compound Quantitation and Reporting Limits

Compound quantitation algorithm was verified to be correct.

The MDLs have been provided by the laboratory on the sample reports along with the reporting limits. The laboratory has established method detection limits (MDLs) per 40 CFR Part 136 Appendix B. The laboratory MDLs are found to be consistent with project needs.

XI. Tentatively Identified Compounds (TICs)

TICs reports were not required for this SDG.

XII. System Performance

The data at-large for target compounds indicate acceptable system performance

XIII. Overall Assessment of Data

All data were found to be acceptable per specifications as noted above under introduction/summary with the exceptions of the samples and analytes listed in the table at the end of this report, if any.

Omega Chemical OUI Semivolatiles - Data Qualification Summary - SDG #04057E

SDG	Sample	Analyte	Flag	A or P*	Reason
04057E	OC1-OW1-W-0-3	1,4-Dioxane	J	A	Surrogate Recoveries

*P-Flag is due to deviation from criteria limits
A- Flag is expected to be due to sample matrix effects

Omega Chemical OUI Semivolatiles - Blanks Data Qualification Summary – #04057E

No blank detects were reported.